



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

08/10/5814

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|               |             |                       |                     |

| EXAMINER |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|          | 14           |

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Ned Niels (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Ex. Asher (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 3/12/97

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some ~~or all~~ of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1, 8, 23, 38

Identification of prior art discussed: Sawyer

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: that an amendment  
AF incorporating the changes to claims 1 & 23 found in the non-entered  
amendment of 2/21/97, if entered, would put claims 1, 23, and the  
claims dependent thereon into condition for allowance. - But not 8  
& 38. Mr. Niels was reminded that prosecution is closed. New  
arguments in. to 8 & 38 will be filed. Ex. pointed out that such would  
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

be useless if there is no nexus between  
the claim language & the arguments made.  
No guarantee was made that

Examiner's Signature

PTOL-413 (REV. 1-84) ORIGINAL FOR INSERTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF FILE WRAPPER  
any AF would be entered - Simplification of issues will be weighed against "New Issues" & Expense Claims.